

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,268	06/14/2006	Jurgen Kleinschmidt	03528.0149.PCUS00	3309	
27194 7590 02/28/2007 HOWREY LLP C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER		
			MACFARLANE, STACEY NEE		
	/ PARK DRIVE, SUITE 20 H, VA 22042-2924		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	1609		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		02/28/2007	PAP	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/560,268	KLEINSCHMIDT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stacey MacFarlane	1609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ne 2006				
· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	·				
closed in accordance with the practice under E.					
· ·	A parto Quayro, 1000 O.B. 11,	100 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.		, ,			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- ' '	• • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	nriority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 110/	) (d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	have been received				
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
application from the International Bureau	•	red in this National Stage			
• •	, ,,,	ned.			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
·					
Attachment(s)	. •				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/560,268 Page 2

Art Unit: 1609

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions that are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-8, drawn to an AAV vector and pharmaceutical compositions thereof.

Group 2, claim(s) 9 and 10, drawn to a method of gene therapy in non-hepatic tissue.

2. The inventions listed as Groups 1 and 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical feature" is defined in Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution that each of the inventions makes over the prior art. Whether a particular feature makes a contribution over the prior art, is considered with respect to novelty and inventive step. In the instant application, the common technical feature is an AAV vector with mutations resulting in a heparin-binding motif with reduced binding function. As the following reference teaches, an AAV vector with altered heparin binding does not constitute an inventive step over the prior art. Grifman et al. demonstrate recombinant

human parvovirus adeno-associated virus type 2, with mutations that lead to reduced or abolished heparin binding (See Figure 5, Grifman et al. *Incorporation of Tumor-Targeting Peptides into Recombinant Adeno-associated Virus Capsids*. Molecular Therapy 3(6):964-975. June 2001). Furthermore, the reference recites altered tropism and the affects and potential pitfalls for clinical applications. Thus the method of using the AAV vector for gene therapy, which is the invention of Group 2, also lacks a special technical feature over the art.

### Species Election

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Elect a single mutations at one of the following positions: arginine 475; arginine 484; arginine 487; lysine 527; lysine 532; arginine 585; or arginine 588. Applicant must further elect a **single** specific amino acid substitution for the elected position, for example, R475A; R484A; R484E; R487A; R487E; K527A; K532A; R585E; or R588E.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1609

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Within Group 1, Claims 2, 4, and 5 read upon different amino acid position mutations and potential substitutions at those positions and require election.

The following claim(s) are generic: Group 1: Claims 1, 3, 6-9; Group 2: Claims 9-10.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons. The expression "special technical feature" is defined in Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions makes over the prior art.

Whether a particular feature makes a contribution over the prior art, is considered with respect to novelty and inventive step. As stated above, the common technical feature is an AAV vector with mutations resulting in a heparin-binding motif with reduced binding function. Grifman et al. demonstrate rAAV-2, with altered heparin binding and discuss its clinical applications for gene therapy (See Discussion Section, page 974, column 1, lines 4 through end of article). Since this prior art recites the common technical feature

Art Unit: 1609

of the instant application, there is no special technical feature over the prior art and the instant application lacks Unity of Invention under PCT Rule 13.1.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,268 Page 6

Art Unit: 1609

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacey MacFarlane whose telephone number is (571) 270-3057. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-4:00 PM & ALT. Fridays, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Mosher can be reached on (571) 272-0906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZACHARIAH LUCAS PATENT EXAMINER